

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/452,802	12/02/1999	DINESH KASHINATH ANVEKAR	YO999-540	1773
30743	7590 09/03/2004		EXAM	INER
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			BAYARD, EMMANÜEL	
11491 SUNS SUITE 340	SET HILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	A 20190		2631	

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AK					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/452,802	ANVEKAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Emmanuel Bayard	2631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f te, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	<u>June 2004</u> .						
· <u>-</u>	·=						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12 and 14</u> is/are pending in the	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12 and 14</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price							
application from the International Burea	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rece	eived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)					
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to amendment filed on 6/25/04 in which claim 1-3, 5-12 and 14 are pending and claims 4 and 13 are canceled. The applicant amendments have been fully considered but they are most base on the new ground of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ∋ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 3718 of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bauchot et al U.S. Patent No 6,031,864.

As per claim 1, Bauchot et al discloses a frequency hopping time division duplex indoor wireless communication system comprising: a base station is the same as the claimed (master unit) (see figs. 1a, 1b element 26 or 28 and col.2, lines 37-38) having a microprocessor (see fig. 2 element 56 and col.4, line 17 and col.8, lines 34-41) is functionally equivalent to the claimed

Art Unit: 2631

(processor) and a first frequency selection unit (see figs. 4a, 4b elements Fi or Fi+1 and col.2, lines 40-60 and col.4, lines 65-67 and col.5, lines 1-40 and col.7, lines 45-55) for finding a current frequency on which to transmit and receive (transceiver see figs. 1b, 2 elements 36 or 44) during the current time slot and at least a second frequency selection unit (see figs. 4a, 4b elements SFi or Fj+1 and col.5, lines 44-67 and col.6, lines 1-67 and col.8, lines 47-67) interfaced with said processor (see fig.2 element 60) to select frequencies to be used in future time slots; and a plurality mobile stations (see fig.1a elements 10, 12, 14, 16) communicating with said master unit (element 26 or 28).

As per claim 2, the time division duplex of Bauchot et al includes logic units to perform frequency hop selection (see col.2, lines 40-61 and col.4, lines 60-67) according to predetermined standards.

As per claim 3, the time division duplex of Bauchot et al inherently includes wherein the processor (see fig.56) in the master unit (see 26 or 28) interfaced to the second frequency selection unit corporate such that a frequency corresponding to a future time slot is obtained by the processor by providing binary information about a Pico-cell (see col.8, lines 44-55) related address bits and clock bits corresponding to the time slot (see figs. 4a, 4b elements SFi or Fj+1 and col.5, lines 44-67 and col.6, lines 1-67 and col.8, lines 47-67).

As per claim 5, Bauchot et al discloses a frequency hopping indoor wireless communication system comprising: a base station is the same as the claimed (master unit) (see figs. 1a, 1b element 26 or 28 and col.2, lines 37-38), said base station (master unit) (26) having a plurality mobile stations (see fig.1a elements 10, 12, 14, 16) is the same as the claimed (a plurality of link state counters) C(i,j), wherein the states of wireless link between the master unit

Art Unit: 2631

and a slave unit are recorded in link state counters provided one for each frequency of communication f1 between the master and the slave (see fig.1a).

As per claim, 6 Bauchot inherently teaches the link state counter are initially reset to zero (see co.8, line 46), a counter is incremented by one (see col.8, line 49) when the master unit finds that a current transmission/reception with reference to slave unit frequency failed, the counter is reset to zero when the current transmission/reception with reference to slave unit is successful (see col.8, lines 40-67 and col.9, lines 1-30).

As per claim, 7, Bauchot et al inherently teach a transmission attempt is made to slave unit if a value of the counter is less than or equal to a threshold and no transmission is made if the value of the counter is greater than the threshold (see col.8, lines 45-67 and col.9, lines 1-30).

As per claim 8, Bauchot et al discloses a base station is the same as the claimed (master unit) (see figs. 1a, 1b element 26 or 28 and col.2, lines 37-38) having a microprocessor (see fig. 2 element 56 and col.4, line 17 and col.8, lines 34-41) is functionally equivalent to the claimed (processor) and a first frequency selection unit (see figs. 4a, 4b elements Fi or Fi+1 and col.2, lines 40-60 and col.4, lines 65-67 and col.5, lines 1-40 and col.7, lines 45-55) for finding a current frequency on which to transmit and receive (transceiver see figs. 1b, 2 elements 36 or 44) during the current time slot and at least a second frequency selection unit (see figs. 4a, 4b elements SFi or Fj+1 and col.5, lines 44-67 and col.6, lines 1-67 and col.8, lines 47-67) interfaced with said processor (see fig.2 element 60) to select frequencies to be used in future time slots; a plurality mobile stations (see fig.1a elements 10, 12, 14, 16) is the same as the claimed (a plurality of link state counters) C(i,j), wherein the states of wireless link between the master unit and a slave unit are recorded in link state counters provided one for each frequency

Art Unit: 2631

of communication f1 between the master and the slave (see fig.1a); before transmission to slave unit, the master unit obtains the frequencies corresponding to time slots which will be encountered in the immediate future is inherently taught by Bauchot (see col.2, lines 40-67 and col.5, lines 15-40); if the link state history counter for a scheduled slave unit at an expected transmission frequency indicates that a transmission attempt can be made, the master proceed to transmit to the slave at an appropriate packet size is inherently taught by Bauchot (see specification and col.8, lines 40-67 and col.9, lines 1-30); the master unit tries to choose another active slave, if any transmission fails is inherently taught by Bauchot (see specification and col.8, lines 40-67 and col.9, lines 1-30); the master unit records loss and gain of service by the slave unit when transmission to the slave units takes place in an order different from the regular scheduling is inherently taught by Bauchot (see specification and col.8, lines 40-67 and col.9, lines 1-30); if the link state history counter values of all active slave units are above a threshold, the master unit chooses a slave unit whose link state counter has a lower value is inherently taught by Bauchot (see specification and col.8, lines 40-67 and col.9, lines 1-30).

As per claim 9, Bauchot et al inherently teaches all the limitations f) and g) (see rejection of the above claims 1-8).

As per claims 10 and 11, Bauchot inherently teaches all the claimed limitations (see rejection of the above claims 1-8).

As per claim 12, Bauchot et al discloses a frequency hopping time division duplex indoor wireless communication system comprising: a base station is the same as the claimed (master unit) (see figs. 1a, 1b element 26 or 28 and col.2, lines 37-38) and a plurality mobile stations (see fig. 1a elements 10, 12, 14, 16) is the same as the claimed (slave units); a second level frequency

Art Unit: 2631

look ahead is preformed by the master unit even before a packet from addresses slave unit is received (see figs. 4a, 4b elements SFi or Fj+1 and col.5, lines 44-67 and col.6, lines 1-67 and col.8, lines 47-67), the second level look ahead is performed by the master unit to determine the slave units and packet sizes to be used next corresponding to different sizes of packet that might be transmitted by an address slave unit (see col.5, lines 45-67 and col.6, lines 1-67 and col.7, lines 1-67 and col.8, lines 5-67 and col.9, lines 1-30).

As per claim 14, the time division duplex of Bauchot et al includes an expected state of wireless links with reference to interference (see fig. 1a and co15, line 48).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Saito U.S. Patent No 5,914,497 teaches a mobile radio communication.

Lenzo et al U.S. Patent No 6,587,444 B1 teaches fixed frequency time division duplex..

Saga et al U.S. Patent No 5,781,582 teaches a frequency agile transceiver.

Yamauchi et al U.S. Patent No 6,295,310 B1 teaches a mobile communication system.

Burdick et al U.S. Patent No 6,424,820 B1 teaches an inductively coupled wireless system.

Banz et al U.S. patent No 5,394,433 teaches a frequency hopping.

Ito U.S. patent No 5,276,686 teaches a mobile radio communication system.

Schilling Pub No 2001/0040878 A1 teaches a channel sounding.

Lu et al U.S. patent No 6,580,924 B1 teaches a wireless co-tenant base station.

Art Unit: 2631

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is 571 272 3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:Am-4:30PM) Alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammed Ghayour can be reached on 571 272 3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2631

Emmanuel Bayard

EMMANUEL BAYARD DIMANY EXAMINER

8/28/04